pilgrimage centres are primarily the responsibilities of the State Governments. However, based on the proposals received from the State Government of Orissa, Union Government sanctioned eight projects/schemes amounting to Rs. 131.83 lakhs for development of pilgrimage centres in the State during the first three years of Eighth Plan period. Details of the projects/schemes sanctioned with amount are listed below:—

SI. N	Name of the project/scheme	Year of	Amount sanction (Rs. in
1.	Yatri Niwas at Puri	1992-93	44.85
2.	Assistance for BaliYatra	1992-93	12.05
3.	Illumination of Sri Jagannath Temple at Puri		10.00
4.	Assistance for Konark Festival	1993-94	3.24
5.	Assistance for Beach Festival	1993-94	5.00
6.	Tourist complex at Nruishingnath	1994-95	41.23
7.	Illumination of Sea Beach at Puri	1994-95	5.46
8.	Assistance for Beach Festival at Puri	1994-95	10.00
	Total:		131.83

Clearance of Power Projects in the States

1524. SHRI RAJUBHAI A. PARMAR:
SHRIMATI VEENA VERMA: SHRI SUSHILKUMAR SAMBHAJIRAO SHINDE:

Will the Minister of POWER be pleased to state:

(a) the number and details of power projects in Maharashtra, Gujarat, Madhya Pradesh and other States which have been cleared this year so far;

(b) how many of them are in public and how many in private and joint ventures indicating the details of the parties to whom assigned; and

(c) the broad terms for assigning the power
projects to multinationals?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF POWER (SHRIMATI URMILABEN CHIMANBHAI PATEL): (a) and (b) The number and details of Power Projects which have been cleared techno-economically by CEA during this year is given below:

Details of generating schemes cleared techno-economically by CEA during 1995-96 (till dale)

Name of Scheme (Executing Agency) Cap (MW)	Instt	Sector
Gujarat		
1. Baroda CCGT Plant (M/s. Gujarat Industrial Power Corporation Ltd.) 167		Private
2. Hazira CCGT Plant (M/s. ESSAR Power Ltd.) 515		-do.-
3.* Wanakbori TPS Extn. (Unit 7) (M/s. Gujarat State Electricity Corporation Ltd.)	210	Public (State)
Other States West		
4. BalagarhTPP (M/s. Balagarh Power Co. Ltd.)	500	Private
Tamil Nadu		
5. Pillaiperumalnallur CCGT Plant (M/s. Dyna Makowski Power Co.) 330.5		Private

'Appraisal found in order.

(c) The broad terms for assigning the power projects to multinationals is given in the Statement below:

Statement

Terms for assigning the Power Projects to Multinationals

— Private Sector can set up thermal projects (coal/gas) and hydel projects and wind/ solar energy project of any size.

— Private Sector companies can set up enterprises to operate either as licensees or as generating companies.

— All private companies entering the Electricity Sector hereafter will be allowed a debt-equity ratio upto 4:1.

— Promoter's contribution should be at least 11% of the total outlay.

— To ensure that private entrepreneurs bring in additionally of resources to the sector, not less than 60% of the total outlay for the project must come from sources other than Public Financial Institutions.

— Upto hundred per cent (100%) foreign equity participation can be permitted for projects set up by foreign private investors.

— The condition of dividend balancing by export earnings which is normally being applied to cases foreign investment upto 51% equity will not be applicable to foreign investments in the power sector.

— With the approval of the Government, import of equipment for power projects will also be permitted in cases where foreign supplier(s) or agency(ies) extend concessional credit.

— The customs duty for import of power equipment has been reduced to 20% and this rate has also been extended to machinery required for modernisation and renovation of power plants.

— Upto 16% return on the foreign equity included in the tariff can be provided in the respective foreign currency.

— Fixed costs can be recovered at 68.5% PLF. Attractive incentives are prescribed for performance beyond this PLF.

Tannery and Footwear Corporation of India, Kanpur

1525. SHRI GHUFRAN AZAM: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether the SBI has been withholding the documents and papers of the Tannery and footwear Corporation of India Limited, Kanpur;

(b) whether the bank has not allowed the company to revalue and reassess actual cost of its large properties;

(c) whether the failure of the bank on this count has resulted in the company to become sick;

(d) whether Government would immediately intervene in the matter; and (e) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (DR. DEBIPROSAD PAL): (a) and (b) In accordance with the practices and usages customary amongst banks and in conformity with provisions of statutes governing public sector banks, the information relating to individual constituents cannot be divulged.

(c) to (e) State Bank of India (SBI) has reported that it is not correct to say that SBI was responsible for the sickness of the company. It may however be added that the Board for Industrial and Financial Reconstruction (BIFR) in its last hearing held on 14.02.1995 formed the opinion that Tannery and Footwear Corporation of India Ltd. (TAFCO) was not likely to make its net worth exceed the accumulated losses within a reasonable time while meeting all its financial obligations and that TAFCO as a result thereof was not likely to become viable in future and that it was just and equitable that the company should be wound up. BIFR also directed that its opinion be forwarded to the concerned High Court for necessary action. TAFCO Officers' Association went in appeal to the Appellate Authority for Industrial Financial Reconstruction (AAIFR) against the order of the BIFR. The appeal has since been dismissed by the AAIFR.